REMARKS

The last Office Action has been carefully considered.

It is noted that claim 33 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) over the patent to Sangyo in view of the patent to Chinuprasad.

At the same time the Examiner indicated that claim 34 was not rejected over the art.

The Examiner's indication the allowability of claim 34 has been gratefully acknowledged.

In connection with this, claim 33 has been amended by introducing into it the features of claim 34. It is believed that the thusly amended claim 33 should be considered as patentably distinguishing over the art and should be allowed.

Claim 34 has been cancelled.

Reconsideration and allowance of the present application is most respectfully requested.

Should the Examiner require or consider it advisable that the specification, claims and/or drawings be further amended or corrected in formal respects in order to place this case in condition for final allowance, then it is respectfully requested that such amendments or corrections be carried out by Examiner's Amendment, and the case be passed to issue. Alternatively, should the Examiner feel that a personal discussion might be helpful in advancing this case to allowance, he is invited to telephone the undersigned (at 631-549-4700).

Respectfully submitted,

Michael J. Striker

Attorney for Applicants

Reg. No. 27233